Response to Final Office Action dated: July 5, 2007

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 23-41 are pending in the application. Claims 23-35 and 40 are allowed. Claims

36-39 and 41 are rejected.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. 88 101 and 112, second paragraph

Claims 36-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is

directed to non-statutory subject matter. Independent claim 36 has been amended to overcome

this rejection. Accordingly, applicants respectfully request the rejection of claims 36-39 under

35 U.S.C. § 101 be withdrawn.

Claim 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention. Claim 41 has been amended to overcome this rejection. Accordingly, applicants

respectfully request that the rejection of claim 41 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph be

withdrawn.

It is believed that this Amendment places the application in condition for allowance, and

early favorable consideration of this Amendment is earnestly solicited.

If, in the opinion of the Examiner, an interview would expedite the prosecution of this

application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at the telephone number

listed below.

-7-

SI01 108368 v1

Application No. 10/625,240 Response dated: November 2, 2007 Response to Final Office Action dated: July 5, 2007

The Office is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayments

under 37 C.F.R. §1.16 or §1.17 to Deposit Account No. 11-0600.

Respectfully submitted, KENYON & KENYON LLP

Dated: November 2, 2007 By: / Jeffrey R. Joseph/

Jeffrey R. Joseph (Reg. No. 54,204) Attorneys for Intel Corporation

Customer No: 25693

KENYON & KENYON LLP 333 West San Carlos St., Suite 600 San Jose, California 95110

Telephone: (408) 975-7500 Facsimile: (408) 975-7501